

Interface Prioritization

Prepared by Sub-Committee: Bi-state, OCV, Blueprint and VITL

Interface Prioritization

- To help engage the HIE Steering Committee in setting connectivity priorities for the calendar year 2020, Blueprint, OneCare and Bi-State representatives joined VITL in an **ad hoc subcommittee** on April 23rd to assess this year's proposal (CY20) and to come back to the larger group with a Criteria recommendation.
- The sub-committee worked with an **Interface Prioritization Matrix** that VITL created for this purpose.
- The matrix incorporated a list of **categories** and a **100-point scoring system**.
- Interfaces were ranked in each category and given an **overall Total Score** to rank them in order of priority.

Interface Prioritization - Categories

- Patient Volume
- Vendor Ease
- Vendor/Site Tier 2 capable?
- Site ready to engage?
- Known Upgrade/Switch in next 12 months?
- Data Category
- Contributing Data?
- Receiving Data?
- Replacements in Past?
- Programs supported
- Other special circumstances?

Interface Prioritization – Scoring System

1. Patient Volume (35 Pts)

Large	35
Medium	20
Small	10

2. Vendor Ease (10 Pts)

Easy	10
Medium	5
Hard	1

3. Vendor/Site Tier 2 capable? (20 Pts)

Yes	20
No	0
Not evaluated Yet	10
Vendor working on Tier 2	15
Tier 2 Does Not Apply	20

4. Site ready to engage? (20 Pts)

Yes	20
No - in 2019	5
Unknown	10

5. Data Category in Alignment (5 Pts)

Yes	5
No	0

6. Replacements in Past? (5 Pts)

0	5
1	2
2+	0

7. Other Circumstances (5 Pts)

Up	5
None	0

Interface Prioritization – Highest Priority

- Large Patient Volume
- Easy Vendor
- Tier 2 Capable
- Site Ready
- No known Upgrade/switch in next 12 months
- Data Priority in alignment with HIE Steering Committee Direction

Interface Prioritization – Lowest Priority

- Small Patient Volume
- Hard Vendor
- Not Tier 2 capable
- Site not willing
- Upgrading/Switching EHR in next 12 months
- Data Priority not in alignment with HIE Steering Committee Direction

Interface Prioritization – Other Considerations/Tiebreakers

- Contributing Data?
- Receiving Data?
- Replacements in Past?
- Programs supported
- Other special circumstances?

Next Steps

- The sub committee recommends that we use this scoring criteria for CY2020 and see how it works.
- Adjustments can be made if needed when we prioritize work for next year (CY2021)

NOTE: Due to the recent COVID event VITL is prioritizing work with DVHA & stakeholders across the state to support statewide reporting and response to the pandemic.